

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,326 08/31/2001		2001	Rachel Meyers	MPI00-344P1RM	2458
30405	7590 08/31/2004			EXAMINER	
	IUM PHARM	YU, MISOOK			
	Landsdowne Street MBRIDGE, MA 02139			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	52,			1642	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/945,326	MEYERS ET AL.					
Advisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a not places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 10 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>none</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues with the non-entered amendment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	,						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>50-63</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	1						
	LARRY H. HELMS, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER	Misook Yu, 8/27/04					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly presented base claim 64 requires new search because the objective to be achieved of the newly amended claimed method is different from that of the previously examined method. Further, the newly presented claims require further consideration as to 112, first paragraph, because it is not clear whether the active step of the newly presented claimed method could identify a candidate compound capble of modulating cellular growth or proliferation of cancer cells in vitro.

ARRY R. HELMS, PH.C PRIMARY EXAMINER